

Graphical Models, Exponential Families and Variational Inference

4.3

Expectation Propagation

Vincent Adam
Alessandro Davide Ialongo

March 24, 2015

Expectation Propagation Algorithms

- ▶ What is EP?
 - ▶ Another 'message-passing' like algorithm
 - ▶ Sequence of moment matching operations

Expectation Propagation Algorithms

- ▶ What is EP?
 - ▶ Another 'message-passing' like algorithm
 - ▶ Sequence of moment matching operations

Outline

- ▶ EP as we know it
- ▶ EP and the variational principle

EP as we know it

- ▶ Approximation of $p(x) = \prod_i f_i(x_i)$ as $\tilde{p}(x) \approx \prod_i \tilde{f}_i(x_i)$ (sites)
 - ▶ each approximated factor \tilde{f}_i has simple exp-fam parameterization with suff-stats $\phi_i(x_i)$

EP as we know it

- ▶ Approximation of $p(x) = \prod_i f_i(x_i)$ as $\tilde{p}(x) \approx \prod_i \tilde{f}_i(x_i)$ (sites)
 - ▶ each approximated factor \tilde{f}_i has simple exp-fam parameterization with suff-stats $\phi_i(x_i)$
- ▶ Updating \tilde{f}_i (pick an ordering),
 - ▶ $q_{-i}(x_i) = \int dx_{\setminus i} \frac{\tilde{p}(x)}{\tilde{f}_i(x_i)}$ (cavity)

EP as we know it

- ▶ Approximation of $p(x) = \prod_i f_i(x_i)$ as $\tilde{p}(x) \approx \prod_i \tilde{f}_i(x_i)$ (sites)
 - ▶ each approximated factor \tilde{f}_i has simple exp-fam parameterization with suff-stats $\phi_i(x_i)$
- ▶ Updating \tilde{f}_i (pick an ordering),
 - ▶ $q_{-i}(x_i) = \int dx_{\setminus i} \frac{\tilde{p}(x)}{\tilde{f}_i(x_i)}$ (cavity)
 - ▶ $\int dx_i q_{-i}(x_i) f_i(x_i) \phi_i(x_i) = \int dx_i q_{-i}(x_i) \tilde{f}_i(x_i) \phi_i(x_i)$
 $\mathbb{E}_{q_{-i} f_i(x_i)}[\phi_i] = \mathbb{E}_{q_{-i} \tilde{f}_i(x_i)}[\phi_i]$
(moment matching)

EP as we know it

- ▶ Approximation of $p(x) = \prod_i f_i(x_i)$ as $\tilde{p}(x) \approx \prod_i \tilde{f}_i(x_i)$ (sites)
 - ▶ each approximated factor \tilde{f}_i has simple exp-fam parameterization with suff-stats $\phi_i(x_i)$
- ▶ Updating \tilde{f}_i (pick an ordering),
 - ▶ $q_{-i}(x_i) = \int dx_{\setminus i} \frac{\tilde{p}(x)}{\tilde{f}_i(x_i)}$ (cavity)
 - ▶ $\int dx_i q_{-i}(x_i) f_i(x_i) \phi_i(x_i) = \int dx_i q_{-i}(x_i) \tilde{f}_i(x_i) \phi_i(x_i)$
 $\mathbb{E}_{q_{-i} f_i(x_i)}[\phi_i] = \mathbb{E}_{q_{-i} \tilde{f}_i(x_i)}[\phi_i]$
(moment matching)

Variational idea invoked locally:

$$\mathbb{E}_{q_{-i} f_i(x_i)}[\phi_i] = \mathbb{E}_{q_{-i} \tilde{f}_i(x_i)}[\phi_i] \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\tilde{f}_i = \arg \min_{\tilde{f}_i} KL \left[q_{-i}(x_i) f_i(x_i) \parallel q_{-i}(x_i) \tilde{f}_i(x_i) \right]$$

Entropy Approximations Based on Term Decoupling (p111)

- ▶ $(X_1, \dots, X_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$
- ▶ $\underbrace{\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{d_T})}_{\text{Tractable}}$ and $\underbrace{\Phi = (\Phi^1, \dots, \Phi^{d_I})}_{\text{Intractable}}$ sufficient statistics

Entropy Approximations Based on Term Decoupling (p111)

- ▶ $(X_1, \dots, X_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$
- ▶ $\underbrace{\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{d_T})}_{\text{Tractable}}$ and $\underbrace{\Phi = (\Phi^1, \dots, \Phi^{d_I})}_{\text{Intractable}}$ sufficient statistics

The (ϕ, Φ) –Exponential Family

- ▶ parameters $\theta, \tilde{\theta} \leftrightarrow \phi, \Phi$
- ▶ $p(x; \theta, \tilde{\theta}) \propto f_0(x) \exp(\langle \theta, \phi(x) \rangle) \exp(\langle \tilde{\theta}, \Phi(x) \rangle)$
- ▶ base model $p(x; \theta, \vec{0}) \propto f_0(x) \exp(\langle \theta, \phi(x) \rangle)$
(no intractable component)

Entropy Approximations Based on Term Decoupling (p111)

- ▶ $(X_1, \dots, X_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$
- ▶ $\underbrace{\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{d_T})}_{\text{Tractable}}$ and $\underbrace{\Phi = (\Phi^1, \dots, \Phi^{d_I})}_{\text{Intractable}}$ sufficient statistics

The (ϕ, Φ) –Exponential Family

- ▶ parameters $\theta, \tilde{\theta} \leftrightarrow \phi, \Phi$
- ▶ $p(x; \theta, \tilde{\theta}) \propto f_0(x) \exp(\langle \theta, \phi(x) \rangle) \exp(\langle \tilde{\theta}, \Phi(x) \rangle)$
- ▶ base model $p(x; \theta, \vec{0}) \propto f_0(x) \exp(\langle \theta, \phi(x) \rangle)$
(no intractable component)

The (ϕ, Φ^i) –Exponential Family : “ Φ^i –Augmented”

- ▶ $p(x; \theta, \tilde{\theta}^i) \propto f_0(x) \exp(\langle \theta, \phi(x) \rangle) \exp(\langle \tilde{\theta}^i, \Phi^i(x) \rangle)$

Example Tractable/Intractable Partitioning (p112)

Mixture Model

- ▶ Likelihood $p(y|X = x) = (1 - \alpha)\mathcal{N}(y; 0, \sigma_0^2\mathbb{I}) + \alpha\mathcal{N}(y; x, \sigma_1^2\mathbb{I})$
- ▶ Prior $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$

Example Tractable/Intractable Partitioning (p112)

Mixture Model

- ▶ Likelihood $p(y|X = x) = (1 - \alpha)\mathcal{N}(y; 0, \sigma_0^2\mathbb{I}) + \alpha\mathcal{N}(y; x, \sigma_1^2\mathbb{I})$
- ▶ Prior $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$
- ▶ Posterior

$$\begin{aligned} p(x|y^1, \dots, y^n) &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^T \Sigma^{-1}x\right) \prod_i p(y^i|X = x) \\ &\propto \underbrace{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^T \Sigma^{-1}x\right)}_{\text{Tractable=base}} \underbrace{\exp\left\{\sum_i \log p(y^i|X = x)\right\}}_{\text{Intractable, } d_I = |\mathcal{Y}|} \end{aligned}$$

Example Tractable/Intractable Partitioning (p112)

Mixture Model

- ▶ Likelihood $p(y|X = x) = (1 - \alpha)\mathcal{N}(y; 0, \sigma_0^2\mathbb{I}) + \alpha\mathcal{N}(y; x, \sigma_1^2\mathbb{I})$
- ▶ Prior $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$
- ▶ Posterior

$$\begin{aligned} p(x|y^1, \dots, y^n) &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^T \Sigma^{-1}x\right) \prod_i p(y^i|X = x) \\ &\propto \underbrace{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^T \Sigma^{-1}x\right)}_{\text{Tractable=base}} \underbrace{\exp\left\{\sum_i \log p(y^i|X = x)\right\}}_{\text{Intractable, } d_I=|\mathcal{Y}|} \end{aligned}$$

“ Φ^j -Augmented” corresponds to having a single observation and is a tractable case (2 components, otherwise $2^{|\mathcal{Y}|}$)

" Φ^i -Augmented", tractable

In the (ϕ, Φ^i) -Exponential Family

- ▶ marginals tractable
- ▶ Entropy tractable

" Φ^i -Augmented", tractable

In the (ϕ, Φ^i) -Exponential Family

- ▶ marginals tractable
- ▶ Entropy tractable

In what follows, use these 1-augmented families to

- ▶ approximate $\mathbb{M}(G)$
- ▶ approximate the entropy

Acceptable means for the (ϕ, Φ) –ExpFam (pp. 113-114)

Notation

- ▶ $\mu = \mathbb{E}[\phi(x)], \tilde{\mu} = \mathbb{E}[\Phi(x)]$
- ▶ $\mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi) = \{(\mu, \tilde{\mu}) \mid (\mu, \tilde{\mu}) = \mathbb{E}[(\phi(x), \Phi(x))] \text{ for some } p\}$
- ▶ Same for base (Φ empty) or “ Φ^j –Augmented”

Acceptable means for the (ϕ, Φ) –ExpFam (pp. 113-114)

Notation

- ▶ $\mu = \mathbb{E}[\phi(x)], \tilde{\mu} = \mathbb{E}[\Phi(x)]$
- ▶ $\mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi) = \{(\mu, \tilde{\mu}) \mid (\mu, \tilde{\mu}) = \mathbb{E}[(\phi(x), \Phi(x))] \text{ for some } p\}$
- ▶ Same for base (Φ empty) or “ Φ^j –Augmented”

Projection operator ('cropping') on acceptable means

- ▶ acceptable mean: $(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi)$
- ▶ projection $(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \xrightarrow{\Pi^i} (\tau, \tilde{\tau}^i)$

Acceptable means for the (ϕ, Φ) –ExpFam (pp. 113-114)

Notation

- ▶ $\mu = \mathbb{E}[\phi(x)]$, $\tilde{\mu} = \mathbb{E}[\Phi(x)]$
- ▶ $\mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi) = \{(\mu, \tilde{\mu}) \mid (\mu, \tilde{\mu}) = \mathbb{E}[(\phi(x), \Phi(x))] \text{ for some } p\}$
- ▶ Same for base $(\Phi \text{ empty})$ or “ Φ^i –Augmented”

Projection operator ('cropping') on acceptable means

- ▶ acceptable mean: $(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi)$
- ▶ projection $(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \xrightarrow{\Pi^i} (\tau, \tilde{\tau}^i)$

Approximating $\mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi)$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\phi, \Phi) &= \{(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \mid \tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi), \quad \Pi^i(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i) \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, d_I\} \\ &= \bigcap_i \{(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \mid \tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi), \quad \Pi^i(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)\}\end{aligned}$$

Acceptable means for the (ϕ, Φ) –ExpFam (pp. 113-114)

Notation

- ▶ $\mu = \mathbb{E}[\phi(x)], \tilde{\mu} = \mathbb{E}[\Phi(x)]$
- ▶ $\mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi) = \{(\mu, \tilde{\mu}) \mid (\mu, \tilde{\mu}) = \mathbb{E}[(\phi(x), \Phi(x))] \text{ for some } p\}$
- ▶ Same for base (Φ empty) or “ Φ^j –Augmented”

Projection operator ('cropping') on acceptable means

- ▶ acceptable mean: $(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi)$
- ▶ projection $(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \xrightarrow{\Pi^i} (\tau, \tilde{\tau}^i)$

Approximating $\mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi)$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\phi, \Phi) &= \{(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \mid \tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi), \quad \Pi^i(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i) \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, d_I\} \\ &= \bigcap_i \{(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \mid \tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi), \quad \Pi^i(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)\}\end{aligned}$$

Remark:

- ▶ intersection of convex sets
- ▶ $\mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\phi, \Phi)$

Approximating \mathcal{M} and $H(\tau, \tilde{\tau})$ (pp. 114-115)

Approximating \mathcal{M}

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi, \Phi) = \{(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \mid \tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi), \quad \Pi^i(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i) \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, d_I\}$$

Approximating \mathcal{M} and $H(\tau, \tilde{\tau})$ (pp. 114-115)

Approximating \mathcal{M}

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi, \Phi) = \{(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \mid \tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi), \quad \Pi^i(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i) \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, d_I\}$$

Approximating $H(\tau, \tilde{\tau})$

- ▶ $H(\tau, \tilde{\tau})$ is not tractable, but $H(\tau, \tilde{\tau}^l)$ tractable

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ep}(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) &= H(\tau) + \sum_l \left[H(\tau, \tilde{\tau}^l) - H(\tau) \right] \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{d_I} H(\tau, \tilde{\tau}^l) - (d_I - 1) H(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

Approximating \mathcal{M} and $H(\tau, \tilde{\tau})$ (pp. 114-115)

Approximating \mathcal{M}

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi, \Phi) = \{(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \mid \tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi), \quad \Pi^i(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i) \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, d_I\}$$

Approximating $H(\tau, \tilde{\tau})$

- ▶ $H(\tau, \tilde{\tau})$ is not tractable, but $H(\tau, \tilde{\tau}^l)$ tractable

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ep}(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) &= H(\tau) + \sum_I \left[H(\tau, \tilde{\tau}^l) - H(\tau) \right] \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{d_I} H(\tau, \tilde{\tau}^l) - (d_I - 1) H(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

Final optimization problem

$$\max_{(\tau, \tau') \in \mathcal{L}(\phi, \Phi)} \left\{ \langle \tau, \theta \rangle + \langle \tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\theta} \rangle + H_{ep}(\tau, \tau') \right\}, \text{ eq. (4.69)}$$

Understanding H_{ep}

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ep}(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) &= H(\tau) + \sum_l \left[H(\tau, \tilde{\tau}^l) - H(\tau) \right] \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{d_I} H(\tau, \tilde{\tau}^l) - (d_I - 1) H(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

Understanding H_{ep}

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ep}(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) &= H(\tau) + \sum_l \left[H(\tau, \tilde{\tau}^l) - H(\tau) \right] \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{d_l} H(\tau, \tilde{\tau}^l) - (d_l - 1) H(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

When is it exact?

- ▶ if $H(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) = H(\tau) + \sum_i \Delta H(\tilde{\tau}_i)$, it is exact
- ▶ if sufficient statistics have disjoint set of random variables

Understanding H_{ep}

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ep}(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) &= H(\tau) + \sum_l \left[H(\tau, \tilde{\tau}^l) - H(\tau) \right] \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{d_I} H(\tau, \tilde{\tau}^l) - (d_I - 1) H(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

When is it exact?

- ▶ if $H(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) = H(\tau) + \sum_i \Delta H(\tilde{\tau}_i)$, it is exact
- ▶ if sufficient statistics have disjoint set of random variables

What it is not

- ▶ conditional entropy

Example 4.9 - Sum-Product and Bethe Approximation

Pairwise Markov random field on Graph $G = (V, E)$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\phi, \Phi) &= \left\{ (\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \mid \underbrace{\tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi)}_{\text{loc. norm.}}, \underbrace{(\tau, \tau_{uv}) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^{uv})}_{\text{loc. cons.}}, \forall (u, v) \in E \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{L}(G)\end{aligned}$$

4.3.2 Optimality in terms of Moment-Matching

Recall

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi, \Phi) = \bigcap_i \{(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \mid \tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi), \quad \Pi^i(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)\}$$

4.3.2 Optimality in terms of Moment-Matching

Recall

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi, \Phi) = \cap_i \{(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \mid \tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi), \quad \Pi^i(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)\}$$

Another construction

- ▶ 1-Expand (and decouple)

$$\{\tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi)\} \otimes_i \{(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) \mid \Pi^i(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)\}$$

4.3.2 Optimality in terms of Moment-Matching

Recall

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi, \Phi) = \bigcap_i \{(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \mid \tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi), \quad \Pi^i(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)\}$$

Another construction

- ▶ 1-Expand (and decouple)

$$\{\tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi)\} \otimes_i \{(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) \mid \Pi^i(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)\}$$

Expansion from $(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow \{\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i), i = 1..d_I\}$

4.3.2 Optimality in terms of Moment-Matching

Recall

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi, \Phi) = \cap_i \{(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \mid \tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi), \quad \Pi^i(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)\}$$

Another construction

- ▶ 1-Expand (and decouple)

$$\{\tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi)\} \otimes_i \{(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) \mid \Pi^i(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)\}$$

Expansion from $(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow \{\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i), i = 1..d_I\}$

- ▶ 2-Couple back

$$\{\tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi)\} \otimes_i \{(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) \mid \Pi^i(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)\} \text{ and} \\ \forall i, j \quad (\tau_i, \tilde{\tau}_i) = (\tau_j, \tilde{\tau}_j)$$

No secret here, just more variables, coupled together.

4.3.2 Optimality in terms of Moment-Matching

Constrained optimization problem

$$\max_{\{\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i)\}} \left\{ \langle \tau, \theta \rangle + \sum_i \langle \tilde{\tau}^i, \tilde{\theta}^i \rangle + \underbrace{H(\tau) + \sum_i [H(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) - H(\eta^i)]}_{F(\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i))} \right\}$$

subject to $(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)$
and $\tau = \eta^i$

4.3.2 Optimality in terms of Moment-Matching

Constrained optimization problem

$$\max_{\{\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i)\}} \left\{ \langle \tau, \theta \rangle + \sum_i \langle \tilde{\tau}^i, \tilde{\theta}^i \rangle + F(\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i)) \right\}$$

subject to $(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)$
and $\tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi)$
and $\tau = \eta^i$

4.3.2 Optimality in terms of Moment-Matching

Constrained optimization problem

$$\max_{\{\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i)\}} \left\{ \langle \tau, \theta \rangle + \sum_i \langle \tilde{\tau}^i, \tilde{\theta}^i \rangle + F(\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i)) \right\}$$

subject to $(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)$
and $\tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi)$
and $\tau = \eta^i$

Associated Partial Lagrangian

$$L(\tau; \lambda) = \langle \tau, \theta \rangle + \sum_i \langle \tilde{\tau}^i, \tilde{\theta}^i \rangle + F(\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i)) + \sum_i \langle \lambda^i, \tau - \eta^i \rangle$$

subject to $(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)$
and $\tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi)$

Solving the optimization problem

$$L(\tau; \lambda) = \langle \tau, \theta \rangle + \sum_i \langle \tilde{\tau}^i, \tilde{\theta}^i \rangle + F(\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i)) + \sum_i \langle \lambda^i, \tau - \eta^i \rangle$$

subject to $(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)$
and $\tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi)$

Solving the optimization problem

$$L(\tau; \lambda) = \langle \tau, \theta \rangle + \sum_i \langle \tilde{\tau}^i, \tilde{\theta}^i \rangle + F(\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i)) + \sum_i \langle \lambda^i, \tau - \eta^i \rangle$$

subject to $(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)$
and $\tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi)$

For an optimal solution $\{\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i), i = 1..d_I\}$

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\tau} L(\tau, \lambda) &= 0 \\ \nabla_{(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i)} L(\tau, \lambda) &= 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1 \dots d_I \\ \nabla_{\lambda} L(\tau, \lambda) &= 0 \quad (\text{constraint})\end{aligned}$$

Solving the optimization problem

$$L(\tau; \lambda) = \langle \tau, \theta \rangle + \sum_i \langle \tilde{\tau}^i, \tilde{\theta}^i \rangle + F(\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i)) + \sum_i \langle \lambda^i, \tau - \eta^i \rangle$$

subject to $(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi)$

Solving the optimization problem

$$L(\tau; \lambda) = \langle \tau, \theta \rangle + \sum_i \langle \tilde{\tau}^i, \tilde{\theta}^i \rangle + F(\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i)) + \sum_i \langle \lambda^i, \tau - \eta^i \rangle$$

subject to $(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi)$

For an optimal solution $\{\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i), i = 1..d_I\}$

Solving the optimization problem

$$L(\tau; \lambda) = \langle \tau, \theta \rangle + \sum_i \langle \tilde{\tau}^i, \tilde{\theta}^i \rangle + F(\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i)) + \sum_i \langle \lambda^i, \tau - \eta^i \rangle$$

subject to $(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi)$

For an optimal solution $\{\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i), i = 1..d_I\}$

$$\nabla_{\tau} L(\tau, \lambda) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow q(x; \theta, \lambda) \propto f_0(x) \exp \{ \langle \theta + \sum_i \lambda_i, \phi(x) \rangle \} \in \mathcal{M}(\phi)$$

Solving the optimization problem

$$L(\tau; \lambda) = \langle \tau, \theta \rangle + \sum_i \langle \tilde{\tau}^i, \tilde{\theta}^i \rangle + F(\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i)) + \sum_i \langle \lambda^i, \tau - \eta^i \rangle$$

subject to $(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi)$

For an optimal solution $\{\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i), i = 1..d_I\}$

$$\nabla_{\tau} L(\tau, \lambda) = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow q(x; \theta, \lambda) \propto f_0(x) \exp \{ \langle \theta + \sum_i \lambda_i, \phi(x) \rangle \} \in \mathcal{M}(\phi)$$

$$\nabla_{(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i)} L(\tau, \lambda) = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow q^i(x; \theta, \tilde{\theta}^i, \lambda) \propto f_0(x) \exp \{ \langle \theta + \sum_{l \neq i} \lambda_l, \phi(x) \rangle + \langle \tilde{\theta}^i, \Phi^i(x) \rangle \} \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)$$

Solving the optimization problem

$$L(\tau; \lambda) = \langle \tau, \theta \rangle + \sum_i \langle \tilde{\tau}^i, \tilde{\theta}^i \rangle + F(\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i)) + \sum_i \langle \lambda^i, \tau - \eta^i \rangle$$

subject to $(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{M}(\phi)$

For an optimal solution $\{\tau, (\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i), i = 1..d_I\}$

$$\nabla_{\tau} L(\tau, \lambda) = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow q(x; \theta, \lambda) \propto f_0(x) \exp \{ \langle \theta + \sum_i \lambda_i, \phi(x) \rangle \} \in \mathcal{M}(\phi)$$

$$\nabla_{(\eta^i, \tilde{\tau}^i)} L(\tau, \lambda) = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow q^i(x; \theta, \tilde{\theta}^i, \lambda) \propto f_0(x) \exp \{ \langle \theta + \sum_{l \neq i} \lambda_l, \phi(x) \rangle + \langle \tilde{\theta}^i, \Phi^i(x) \rangle \} \in \mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi^i)$$

$$\nabla_{\lambda} L(\tau, \lambda) = 0 \Rightarrow \tau = \mathbb{E}_q[\phi(x)] \equiv \mathbb{E}_{q^i}[\phi(x)] = \eta^i$$

Understanding the updates

Analogy/connection with EP algorithm described earlier

- ▶ λ_i parameterizes the approximated factors (\tilde{f}_i)
- ▶ factor \tilde{f}_i share the same suff-stats as the base model (i.e. tractable ϕ)

Understanding the updates

- ▶ $q(x; \theta, \lambda) \propto f_0(x) \exp \{ \langle \theta + \sum_i \lambda_i, \phi(x) \rangle \}$
This is the full posterior where all the 'intractable' factors were replaced by their approximation
- ▶ $q^i(x; \theta, \tilde{\theta}^i, \lambda) \propto f_0(x) \exp \{ \langle \theta + \sum_{l \neq i} \lambda_l, \phi(x) \rangle + \langle \tilde{\theta}^i, \Phi^i(x) \rangle \}$
This is the full posterior where only one intractable factor is left unreplaced (others are all approximated)

EP Summary

Expectation-propagation (EP) updates:

(1) At iteration $n = 0$, initialize the Lagrange multiplier vectors $(\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^{d_I})$.

(2) At each iteration, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, choose some index $i(n) \in \{1, \dots, d_I\}$, and

- (a) Using Equation (4.78), form the augmented distribution $q^{i(n)}$ and compute the mean parameter

$$\eta^{i(n)} := \int q^{i(n)}(x) \phi(x) \nu(dx) = \mathbb{E}_{q^{i(n)}}[\phi(X)]. \quad (4.80)$$

- (b) Using Equation (4.77), form the base distribution q and adjust $\lambda^{i(n)}$ to satisfy the moment-matching condition

$$\mathbb{E}_q[\phi(X)] = \eta^{i(n)}. \quad (4.81)$$

EP : Examples

Example 1:

- ▶ simple graph: (1)-(2)

- ▶ $p(x_1, x_2) \propto \exp \left(\theta_1(x_1) + \theta_2(x_2) + \underbrace{\theta(x_1, x_2)}_{\text{intractable}} \right)$

EP : Examples

Example 1:

- ▶ simple graph: (1)-(2)

- ▶ $p(x_1, x_2) \propto \exp \left(\theta_1(x_1) + \theta_2(x_2) + \underbrace{\theta(x_1, x_2)}_{\text{intractable}} \right)$

EP updates

- ▶ $q(x_1, x_2; \theta, \lambda) \propto \exp(\theta_1(x_1) + \lambda_{12}(x_1)) \exp(\theta_2(x_2) + \lambda_{12}(x_2))$

EP : Examples

Example 1:

- ▶ simple graph: (1)-(2)

- ▶ $p(x_1, x_2) \propto \exp \left(\theta_1(x_1) + \theta_2(x_2) + \underbrace{\theta(x_1, x_2)}_{\text{intractable}} \right)$

EP updates

- ▶ $q(x_1, x_2; \theta, \lambda) \propto \exp(\theta_1(x_1) + \lambda_{12}(x_1)) \exp(\theta_2(x_2) + \lambda_{12}(x_2))$
- ▶ $q^{12}(x_1, x_2; \theta, \lambda) \propto \exp(\theta_1(x_1) + \theta_2(x_2) + \theta(x_1, x_2))$

EP : Examples

Example 1:

- ▶ simple graph: (1)-(2)

- ▶ $p(x_1, x_2) \propto \exp \left(\theta_1(x_1) + \theta_2(x_2) + \underbrace{\theta(x_1, x_2)}_{\text{intractable}} \right)$

EP updates

- ▶ $q(x_1, x_2; \theta, \lambda) \propto \exp(\theta_1(x_1) + \lambda_{12}(x_1)) \exp(\theta_2(x_2) + \lambda_{12}(x_2))$
- ▶ $q^{12}(x_1, x_2; \theta, \lambda) \propto \exp(\theta_1(x_1) + \theta_2(x_2) + \theta(x_1, x_2))$
- ▶ $\mathbb{E}_{q^{12}(x_1)}(\theta_1(x_1)) = \mathbb{E}_{q(x_1)}(\theta_1(x_1))$ (update the λ s)

EP : Examples

Example 2: Mixture of Gaussians

- ▶ $\mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi) = \{ \mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[XX^T], \mathbb{E} [\log p(y^i|X)] , i = 1..n \}$
- ▶ Lagrange multipliers $(\lambda^i, \Lambda^i) \in R^m \times R^{m \times m}$

EP : Examples

Example 2: Mixture of Gaussians

- ▶ $\mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi) = \{\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[XX^T], \mathbb{E}[\log p(y^i|X)], i = 1..n\}$
- ▶ Lagrange multipliers $(\lambda^i, \Lambda^i) \in R^m \times R^{m \times m}$

EP updates

- ▶ $q(x, \Sigma; (\lambda^i, \Lambda^i)) \propto \exp \left\{ \langle \sum_i \lambda^i, x \rangle + \langle -\frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{-1} + \sum_i \Lambda^i, xx^T \rangle \right\}$

EP : Examples

Example 2: Mixture of Gaussians

- ▶ $\mathcal{M}(\phi, \Phi) = \{\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[XX^T], \mathbb{E}[\log p(y^i|X)]\}, i = 1..n\}$
- ▶ Lagrange multipliers $(\lambda^i, \Lambda^i) \in R^m \times R^{m \times m}$

EP updates

- ▶ $q(x, \Sigma; (\lambda^i, \Lambda^i)) \propto \exp \left\{ \langle \sum_i \lambda^i, x \rangle + \langle -\frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{-1} + \sum_i \Lambda^i, xx^T \rangle \right\}$
- ▶ $q^i(x, \Sigma; (\lambda^i, \Lambda^i)) \propto \exp \left\{ \langle \sum_{l \neq i} \lambda^l, x \rangle + \langle -\frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{-1} + \sum_{l \neq i} \Lambda^l, xx^T \rangle + \langle \tilde{\theta}^i, \log p(y^i|x) \rangle \right\}$

That's it for today